

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/517,612	12/22/2004	Frederic Dolle	263752US0PCT	8512
22850 7590 09/06/2007 OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.			EXAMINER	
1940 DUKE STREET		DAVIS, ZINNA NORTHINGTON		
ALEXANDRIA	LEXANDRIA, VA 22314		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1625	
•			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
•		·	09/06/2007	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	Office Action Commence	10/517,612	DOLLE, FREDERIC			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Zinna Northington Davis	1625			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status						
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Thi	s action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims						
·	Claim(s) <u>1-46</u> is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.	m nom consideration.				
_	Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
	Claim(s) <u>1-46</u> are subject to restriction and/or e	election requirement.				
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ accep	ted or b) objected to by the Ex	aminer.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11) 🔲 -	11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17:2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
14)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)						
2) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) 🔲 Notice of Informati	ry (PTO-413) Paper No(s) I Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 1625

Election/Restrictions

1. Upon thorough consideration of the claims, the examiner believes that a lack of unity of invention exists, as defined in Rule 13.

PCT Rule 13.1 states that the international application shall relate to one invention only or to a group of inventions so linked as to form a single general inventive concept ("requirement of unity of invention").

PCT Rule 13.2 states that unity of invention referred to in Rule 13.1 shall be fulfilled only when there is a technical relationship among those inventions involving one or more of the same or corresponding special technical features.

Annex B, Part 1(a), indicates that the application should relate to only one invention, or if there is more than one invention, inclusion is permitted if they are so linked to form a single general inventive concept.

Annex B, Part 1(b), indicates that "special technical features" means those technical features which as a whole define a contribution over the prior art.

Annex B, Part 1(c), further defines independent and dependent claims. Unity of invention only is concerned in relation to independent claims. Dependent claims are defined as a claim which contains all the features of another claim and is in the same category as the other claim. The category of a claim refers to the classification of claims according to subject matter, e.g. product, process, use, apparatus, means, etc. Annex B, Part 1(e), indicates the permissible combinations of different categories of claims. Part 1(e(I)) states that inclusion of an independent claim for a given product, an

Art Unit: 1625

independent claim for a process specially adapted for the manufacture of the said product, and an independent claim for a use of the said product is permissible.

Annex B, Part 1(f) indicates the "Markush practice" of alternatives in a single claim. Part 1(f(I)) indicates the technical interrelationship and the same or corresponding special technical feature is considered to be met when: (A) all alternatives have a common property or activity, and (B) a common structure is present or all alternatives belong to a recognized class of chemical compounds. Further defining (B) in Annex B, Part 1(f)(I-iii), the common structure must; a) occupy a large portion of their structure, or b) the common structure constitutes a structurally distinctive portion, or c) where the structures are equivalent and therefore a recognized class of chemical compounds, each member could be substituted for one another with the same intended result. That is, with a common or equivalent structure, there is an expectation from knowledge in the art that all members will behave in the same way. Thus, the technical relationship and the corresponding special technical feature result from a common (or equivalent) structure which is responsible for the common activity (or property). Part 1(f(iv)) indicates that when all alternatives of a Markush grouping can be differently classified, it shall not, taken alone, be considered justification for finding a lack of unity. Part 1(f(v)) indicates that when dealing with alternatives, it can be shown that at least one Markush alternative is not novel over the prior art, the question of unity of invention shall be reconsidered, but does not imply that an objection shall be raised.

2. In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Application/Control Number: 10/517,612

Art Unit: 1625

Group I, claim(s) 1-10, 20, 22, and 27-42, drawn to a chemical compound of formula (I), a kit, a process for preparing a compound of formula (I).

Group II, claim(s) 11-14, drawn to a use claim, which uses a chemical compound of formula (I).

Group III, claim(s) 15-19, 21, and 23, drawn to a complex comprising a macromolecule coupled with a chemical compound of formula (I).

Group IV, claim(s) 24-25, drawn to a use claim which uses a complex comprising a macromolecule coupled with a chemical compound of formula (I).

Group V, claim 26, drawn to a product for medical imaging using a chemical compound of formula (I).

Group VI, claim 26, drawn to a product for medical imaging using a macromolecule coupled with a chemical compound of formula (I).

Group VII, claim(s) 43, drawn to a precursor chemical compound of formula (la).

Group VIII, claim(s) 44, drawn to a precursor chemical compound of formula (IIa). (IIb), (IIIa), (IVa), (IVa), (Va), or (Vb).

Group IX, claim(s) 45 and 46, drawn to a precursor chemical compound of formula (I).

- 3. The application does not meet the requirement for Unity of Invention for the following reasons: 1) the permissible combinations under Annex B, Part 1(e) are lacking and 2) a common structure is not present under Annex B, Part 1(f) in which the utility is attributed.
- 4. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species: see the radicals as defined in formula (I) such as U, V, W, X, Y, m, n, a, b, c, d, e, f, and g. The species are independent or distinct because a prior art reference which anticipated one member such as pyridinyl under 35 U.S.C. 102 would not render obvious another member such as pyrazolyl under 35 U.S.C. 103.

Application/Control Number: 10/517,612

Art Unit: 1625

5. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 1-46 are generic.

Page 5

- 6. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art due to their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 7. Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.
- 8. Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species.

 MPEP § 809.02(a).
- 9. Due to the complexity of the lack of unity, a written request is made.
- 10. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement is traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Application/Control Number: 10/517,612

Art Unit: 1625

11. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected

invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one

or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim

remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by

a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Zinna N. Davis whose telephone number is 571-272-

0682. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

13. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding

is assigned is 571-273-8300.

14. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

orthington Davis **Primary Examiner**

Page 6

Art Unit 1625

Znd 08.28.2007